ATT 2100
1 James
FLORIDA
the second s

**SURFACE COATING OPERATIONS** 



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)   RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:
AIRS ID#: 0112532 DATE: 08/25/2006ARRIVE: 10:30 AMDEPART: 11:30 AMFACILITY NAME: AFFORDABLE PAINT & BODY OF MARGATE
FACILITY LOCATION: 1815 NORTH STATE ROAD 7   MARGATE 33063
<b>RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL:</b> RICHARD WILLIAMS <b>PHONE:</b> (954)978-1900 <b>CONTACT NAME:PHONE:</b>
REMITTANCE YEAR: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 2/23/2006 / 2/23/2011 (effective date) (end date)
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check  d only one box)   □ IN COMPLIANCE □ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE □ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE   PART II: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check  appropriate box(es)) 1. Does the facility operate any emissions units other than the surface coating operations and emissions units which are exempt from permitting pursuant to the criteria of paragraph 62-210.300(3)(a) or (b), F.A.C., or have been exempted from permitting under Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.a., F.A.C.) □Yes □ No   2. Does the owner/operator of the facility maintain records to document the VOC content of the coatings and the quantity of the coatings used?
PART III: CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTANANCE REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))   1. Is/Are the surface coating operation(s) subject to a VOC Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT) emission limiting standard of Chapter 62-296.500, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.b., F.A.C.) □Yes ⊠No   2. Does the facility cause, suffer, allow or permit the discharge of air pollutants which cause or contribute to an objectionable odor? (Rule 62.296.320(2), F.A.C.) □Yes ⊠No

## PART III: <u>CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. – (continued)

(check  $\square$  appropriate box(es))

3.	Does the owner/operator encourage pollution prevention through such measures as training employees
	involved in surface coating operations on methods of reducing VOC emissions by:
	a) maintaining annual agating a minute to another effective analisation with a minimum of another analy

a) maintaining spray coating equipment to ensure effective application with a minimum of overspray?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
b) monitoring the coating thickness to avoid excessive coating?	Yes No
c) considering the use of low-VOC coatings (e.g., waterborne, ultra-violet cured, or powder coatings)?	Xes 🗌 No
d) implementing inventory control practices to prevent spillage?	Yes No
e) implementing management practices to reduce VOC emissions during cleanup by:	

1.	spraying light colored coatings before dark colored coatings to reduce the number of cleaning		
	cvcles?	Yes	
2)	recycling cleaning solvents?	Yes	
		Yes	
3)	using water based creaters:	165	

TIV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>		
1. Since the last inspection has there been		
a) installation of any new process equipment?	Yes	No
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	<b>Yes</b>	No
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most		
	Yes	No
d) If you answered <b>YES</b> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete		
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or		
local program office?	□Yes	No
		MINO

Elizabeth F. Susky

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

08/25/06

Date of Inspection

08/25/2006

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

**COMMENTS:** In a compliance inspection conducted on 08/25/2006, AQD staff observed operations at Affordable Paint and Body. AQD staff was accompanied on the inspection by Roger Garcia. Mr. Garcia informed AQD staff that Ms. Klein was on the vacation (usually submits the record-keeping for the facility), however she would be back next week.

The facility has one spray booth and filters were recently replaced. Housekeeping was fair (last haz-mat inspection was 01/04).

No other environmental concerns were noted. Mr. Garcia stated that VOC records will be submitted by Ms. Klein on her return (facility recently renewed and usages are well under 44lbs per VOC/day.